Proceedings of International Conference on "Recent Innovations in Engineering, Technology & Science for Sustainable Development (RIETSSD-2026)"
Career Point International Journal of Research (CPIJR)
 | Special Issue | ISSN : 2583-1895
Conference Paper | DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20576553

Competitive Strategy in the Airline Industry: A Game Theoretic Analysis of Marketing Tactics in Zero Sum Games

Tanvi Sinha[1], Dr. L.C. Punjabi[2]

[1]Research Scholar, School of Commerce & Management, Career Point University, Kota (Raj.)

[2]Research Supervisor, School of Commerce & Management, Career Point University, Kota (Raj.)

Abstract:

The airline industry is highly competitive, with a few major players controlling the majority of the market share. Marketing strategies such as pricing, promotions, and customer loyalty programs often play pivotal roles in determining a firm's success. This paper applies game theory, particularly zero-sum games, to analyze competitive dynamics in the airline industry. A zero-sum game, where one firm's gain is exactly another's loss, provides a useful framework to study the consequences of marketing decisions in oligopolistic markets. This paper explores the pros and cons of competing vs forming strategic alliances in the airlines industry using game-theoretic tools. It does also explore how airlines use marketing tactics to respond to competitors' moves and how these strategies can lead to equilibrium outcomes. The paper also integrates real-world examples from the airline industry to illustrate how these theoretical concepts manifest in practice.

Keywords: game theory, airline industry, marketing strategy, competitive dynamics, strategic partnerships, oligopoly.

1. Introduction

As the modern business landscape becomes more competitive than ever, companies are constantly up in arms for consumers' attention and market share. The only solution to the myriad of bottlenecks that technological advancements and globalization present to businesses nowadays is constant innovation. Marketing strategy has now evolved from

Career Point International Journal of Research (CPIJR)
©2022 CPIJR | Special Issue | ISSN : 2583-1895
Conference Paper | DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20576553

including just consumer preferences to exploring competitor analyses, industry dynamics, and predictive modeling. With ever-changing consumer preferences as the backdrop, game theory has thus become an essential tool for companies looking to fine-tune their marketing approach. Game theory is a mathematical tool that can be used to make choices in a situation involving interactive decision-making, where one 'player's' decision influences the decisions of all players.

For additional context on the nuances of game theory, I shall now break down the seminal works related to this field. The foundation for game theory as an economic and mathematical tool was laid down by John von Neumann and Oskar Morgenstern in their pioneering publication, *Theory of Games and Economic Behavior* (1944). The key concepts introduced in this seminal work are:

- **Utility theory:** The cornerstone of game theory in this seminal work is utility theory. Von Neumann and Morgenstern assume that players are rational agents who act to maximize their utility, which represents their preferences numerically. They introduced the concept of a utility function that assigns a real number to each possible outcome, enabling players to rank preferences consistently. By quantifying utility, they laid the groundwork for analyzing complex decisions involving trade-offs, allowing rational choices under uncertainty. This was a revolutionary step in economics and decision-making, as it shifted the focus from qualitative reasoning to rigorous mathematical modeling.
- **Two-person zero-sum games:** A zero-sum game is one where one player's gain is exactly equal to the other's loss, making the total "payoff" constant. The authors proved the **minimax theorem**, a cornerstone of game theory. The theorem states that in such games, each player has an optimal strategy that minimizes the maximum possible loss (hence the term minimax). This leads to an equilibrium point called the **saddle point**, where neither player can improve their position unilaterally. Applications include military strategy and competitive markets, where adversarial interactions define outcomes.
- **N-person games:** Extending the framework to games involving more than two players, the authors explored the dynamics of **coalition formation**, where subsets of players can collaborate for mutual benefit. For such games, von Neumann and Morgenstern introduced the **characteristic function**, which specifies the value or

Career Point International Journal of Research (CPIJR)
©2022 CPIJR | Special Issue | ISSN : 2583-1895
Conference Paper | DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20576553

payoff that each coalition can guarantee itself regardless of the actions of non-members. This concept is critical for analyzing situations where collaboration or negotiation plays a significant role, such as international trade agreements or joint ventures in business.

- **Payoff matrix:** The payoff matrix is a central tool for analyzing games. It represents the possible outcomes for all strategy combinations as rows (for one player) and columns (for the other player). Each cell contains the respective payoffs. This tabular representation allows for systematic exploration of strategic interactions. By comparing payoffs across strategies, players can identify optimal choices based on their goals, whether maximizing gains or minimizing losses. The matrix approach is fundamental for solving many games, especially two-player interactions.
- **Strategy types:** Players can adopt two types of strategies. **Pure Strategies** involve selecting a single action consistently. These are straightforward but predictable, making them vulnerable in certain situations. **Mixed Strategies** allow players to probabilistically choose among several actions, introducing unpredictability into their decision-making. This increases strategic complexity, especially in zero-sum games, where mixed strategies often lead to optimal solutions.
- **Equilibrium concepts:** Although von Neumann and Morgenstern did not explicitly define **Nash equilibrium**, their work laid the groundwork for this concept. An equilibrium occurs when no player can improve their payoff by unilaterally changing their strategy. This idea is vital for understanding stable outcomes in games, where rational players are unlikely to deviate from their strategies. (Von Neumann, J., & Morgenstern, O. 1944)

The airline industry operates within a highly competitive oligopolistic market, where a limited number of players control significant portions of the market share. Marketing tactics, particularly pricing, promotions, and loyalty programs, are central to firms' strategies to gain market share. In such an environment, each firm's decisions influence the others' strategies and outcomes.

Game theory, and specifically the concept of zero-sum games, offers valuable insights into these dynamics. In a zero-sum game, the total sum of outcomes (e.g., market share or profits) remains constant. Therefore, one airline’s gain in market share comes at the expense of its

Career Point International Journal of Research (CPIJR)
©2022 CPIJR | Special Issue | ISSN : 2583-1895
Conference Paper | DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20576553

competitors. This concept is especially relevant in the airline industry, where competing firms often face trade-offs between aggressive pricing, brand positioning, and loyalty incentives.

The objective of this paper is to explore the competitive dynamics in the airline industry through the lens of game theory, focusing on how airlines craft marketing strategies that align with zero-sum competition. By applying game theory to pricing strategies and promotional tactics, we aim to identify equilibrium strategies, the role of retaliation, and the potential for collusion in shaping competitive behaviors.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Contemporary themes in strategic marketing management

Contemporary marketing management is a multifaceted discipline that entails planning, execution, and supervision of an organization's marketing endeavors in a dynamic business environment. The enmeshed nature of modern-day global markets, all-pervading implications of digital transformation, ever-changing consumer behavior, increased competition, and the necessity of data-driven decision-making; calls for a high level of adaptability and agility. In order to efficiently achieve these goals, contemporary marketing management can be divided into the following broad factions:

- **Market segmentation:** Market segmentation entails customization of marketing efforts to cater to specific consumer segments. Recent research has accorded behavioral segmentation based on purchasing patterns and preferences increased prominence over the traditional market segmentation tactics, such as demographic, psychographic, and geographic segmentation criteria.
- **Branding:** Branding is the key element that differentiates one seller's goods or services from its competitors' market offerings. Recent studies highlight the importance of authenticity and effective story-telling in order to create emotional relationships with consumers. Consumers resonate more with brands demonstrating a defined purpose and values.
- **Product development:** Product development is the process of creating a product aligned with customers' needs. It involves a series of steps, from idea generation to the final product launch. Product development as a function of contemporary

Career Point International Journal of Research (CPIJR)
©2022 CPIJR | Special Issue | ISSN : 2583-1895
Conference Paper | DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20576553

marketing strategy aims to create a product that consumers love and which caters to market need.

- **Digital marketing:** Digital marketing entails the use of online channels to drive consumer engagement and sales. It comprises of various aspects such as SEO, content marketing, social media marketing and email marketing. Recent studies highlight the growing influence of social media platforms for brand discovery and engagement. As social commerce continues to gain prominence, brands can now integrate the shopping experience into social media platforms and thus reduce challenges in the consumer journey and achieve higher conversions. (Rosid, A., et al, 2023)

2.2 Competitive Dynamics in Marketing

The hallmark of an oligopolistic market scenario is strategic interplay between firms. Oligopolies are characterized by a limited number of dominant players. Oligopoly as a market structure, deviates from perfect competition where a large number of firms compete for market share as price-takers. It also differs from the other extreme case of monopoly, where a single firm dominates the market and emerges as a price-maker. Oligopolies operate in the middle-ground between these two extreme scenarios, wherein each of the few existing firms exert reasonable influence over market outcomes. Hence, careful scrutiny of other firms' strategic moves becomes neccesary before making one's own move.

A scenario where none of the interdependent agents participating in the 'game' would sustain any advantages from changing their strategy unilaterally is called Nash equilibrium. This concept particularly applies to firms selling slightly differentiated products or services. Prices for products and services in these sectors are mostly similar. Any deviation from the same would cause the firm to lose market share. Profits cannot be increased by adjusting prices, as it would definitely trigger a counter-reaction from competitors. Nash equilibrium can be applied to competition policy, anti-trust regulations, and cooperative behavior apart from market competition.

The Prisoner's Dilemma is a classic example of how firms acting out of vested interests can lead to sub-par outcomes for all the firms in the industry. It elucidates the challenges of cooperation in competitive markets and how strategic thinking can sometimes lead to a paradox. It depicts tension between collective and vested interests. Traditionally, the Prisoner's Dilemma is explained through the following example- Two prisoners are charged

Career Point International Journal of Research (CPIJR)
©2022 CPIJR | Special Issue | ISSN : 2583-1895
Conference Paper | DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20576553

with a crime and taken in for questioning separately. The interrogators propose three possible outcomes of the interrogation:

- If one prisoner confesses and the other doesn't, the confessor is released and the other prisoner faces 20 years in prison
- If both prisoners confess, they face 15 years in prison
- If neither prisoner confesses, both of them face only a few months in prison

As they are being questioned separately, both prisoners face the 'dilemma' of whether they should betray their partner or stay silent and let their fate be determined by the other prisoner's course of action. Firms in competitive market scenarios where pricing plays a critical role, stand to gain by collaborating and keeping prices stable. However, the temptation to defect often leads to suboptimal outcomes for all firms. The Prisoner's Dilemma emerges in advertising budget decisions, where firms may hesitate to invest heavily, fearing lack of competitor cooperation (Lin, S. et al., 2023).

In highly saturated markets, where firms compete fiercely for market share, a consumer gained by one firm is a consumer lost by its competitors. In other words, one firm's gain implies another firm's loss. Such cutthroat competition causes firms to adopt aggressive marketing strategies in order to survive. Thus, game theory becomes an indispensable tool for companies in zero-sum environments, as they are required to be highly strategic in their responses to competitors' actions.

Stackelberg leadership model applies to market scenarios that have clearly defined market leaders and followers. One firm makes the strategic decisions that shape the competitive landscape in that sector. Such market leaders take the lead in setting the pace for innovation, pricing, etc and the followers adjust their market strategies in response. This kind of leadership position is called a first-mover advantage, wherein the firms holding patents or crucial research and development information have a definitive edge over the other firms in the market. Stackelberg competition elucidates how market leaders can leverage their first-mover advantage to influence competitors' strategies. Analysis by Li, Y. (2023) depicts how Tesla leveraged first-mover advantage in the EV market in order to build brand loyalty and market dominance. Companies like Google set industry standards in online advertising, and thus benchmarks for competitors are created (Tran, S. K. 2017). However, rapid innovation cycles can diminish these advantages as per observations made by McCoy, C. D., et al., (2012, November). Thus, ongoing adaptation becomes a necessity.

Career Point International Journal of Research (CPIJR)
©2022 CPIJR | Special Issue | ISSN : 2583-1895
Conference Paper | DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20576553

Oligopolistic industries, particularly ones operating in competitive market scenarios where Nash dynamics are prevalent, sometimes decide whether to cooperate with competitors or compete with them. Technology companies sometimes form strategic alliances to reduce innovation costs and minimize destructive competition, as highlighted by Huang, X. (2023). Microsoft partnered with LinkedIn and experienced mutual benefits through shared resources. Companies need to balance competitive advantage and long-term benefits. Wu, L., et al., (2016) observed that sacrifices in the short term are necessary for sustainability.

Two game theoretic models most suited to oligopolistic firms are the Cournot model and the Bertrand model. Named after the French economist Antoine Augustin Cournot, the Cournot model presents a theoretical model of how firms in an oligopoly determine their levels of production and corresponding prices. This model is particularly applicable to firms selling homogeneous products. Each firm is very much aware of the fact that its production level effects market price and thus strategically selects its output, while assuming its competitors' output as constant. An alternative perspective on oligopolistic competition, as stated by the French mathematician Joseph Bertrand, called the Bertrand model, emphasizes the competition on the basis of price rather than quantity. This model has widespread relevance in markets where firms produce homogenous or nearly identical products.

The Cournot and Bertrand models offer two different perspectives on how firms in an oligopoly make decisions relating to competition. They capture the essence of competition in oligopolies but through different variables. One of the most profound differences between these models lies in their equilibria. Equilibrium under Cournot model is a quantum of production less than what would be set under a perfectly competitive market, and hence firms are able to sell at higher prices than market determined price under perfect competition. Bertrand model's equilibrium prices are close to marginal costs. Both models also share a common assumption of rationality, a theme in common with the assumptions of game theory. This shared assumption provides a foundation for modeling and mapping strategic interactions. (Patel, P. 2021)

Quantitative models, such as Cournot competition, play a vital role in analyzing output in oligopolistic markets. Soft drink companies apply the Cournot model to optimize production levels, as Reisi, M., et al., (2018) illustrate. Game theory also helps optimize pricing models

Career Point International Journal of Research (CPIJR)
©2022 CPIJR | Special Issue | ISSN : 2583-1895
Conference Paper | DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20576553

and integrate the sensitivity of demand as per Srinivasan, D., et. al.,(2017). Game theory, when coupled with tools like conjoint analysis also provides advanced insights into consumer behavior Chapman, (C. N., & Love, E, 2012, January).

2.3 Arguments in favor of using Game Theory for marketing decisions

Several authors also support the application of game theory to strategic marketing activities. Di Benendetto (1986) states that with a few logical revisions, it is possible to demonstrate the correlation between marketing decision-making and the economic definition of the "game". This author further elaborates that:

1. Players' preferences correspond to the objective of marketing activities
2. Relations and results depend on competitors' choices in the market
3. Decisions regarding marketing mix coincide with the actions undertaken
4. Having good amount of information leads to the optimization of the decision process

One of the major limitations of the application of game theory is the assumption of rationality. Various authors such as Chatterjee & Lilien (1986), Herbig (1991), and Kreps & Wilson (1982) argue that it is possible to incorporate irrationality into a game theoretic model using "bluff and threats." Irrational actions can become part of the game if the players making them can assert their bluff. Thus, irrational behavior in a game with complete information can become permissible in game situations with incomplete information, as with bluffs and threats.

Kreps & Wilson (1982) also included the reputation factor in the game model they developed. According to their research, players in the game also take into account the reputation of the players making any new moves in the game scenario before responding to it.

As per the assumption of perfect information, it is very difficult to reverse engineer the intentions of every single manager and translate them into the payoffs for a game matrix. Some authors, such as Chatterjee & Lilien (1986) and Di Bennedetto (1986) and Cho & Kreps (1987) proposed that game theory model could be adapted to include incomplete information about the payoffs. Di Bennedetto (1986) claims that the integration of information about competitors' intentions is possible through qualitative interviews and surveys. The outcome of these surveys can then be utilized to test the empirical soundness of

Career Point International Journal of Research (CPIJR)
©2022 CPIJR | Special Issue | ISSN : 2583-1895
Conference Paper | DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20576553

the model. According to Cho & Kreps (1987) establishing equilibrium in games with incomplete information can also be done through information received from market signals. These market signals, as defined by Eliashberg & Robertson, reveal a lot about the players' preferences and possible reactions. (Dominici, G.,2011 ; Patel P., 2021)

2.4 Application of Game Theory in Contemporary Marketing Strategy

Game theory is a mathematical tool that has long been used to make choices in a scenario with trade-offs. In the case of marketing strategy, where resources are limited and accuracy in the choice of strategy is crucial to the survival of the business, game theory emerges as an indispensable tool for businesses wanting to choose the best amongst counter-strategies available to them. Despite its evident utility in marketing strategy, marketers have only just begun to realize its potential.

The intricate interplay between game theory and contemporary marketing strategy underscores the complexity of decision-making in competitive business environments. Game theory, a mathematical framework designed to analyze strategic interactions where the outcomes for participants depend on the actions of others, has profound implications for marketing. Its principles offer valuable insights into understanding consumer behavior, predicting competitor actions, and crafting strategies that optimize outcomes in oligopolistic and highly competitive markets.

One of the foundational concepts of game theory is the "Nash Equilibrium," which occurs when no participant can gain by unilaterally changing their strategy if others maintain theirs. This principle has significant relevance in marketing, especially in industries characterized by a few dominant players. For example, consider the pricing strategies of airlines. When one airline reduces its ticket prices, competitors often follow suit to maintain market share, leading to a mutually reinforcing equilibrium where none gains a significant advantage. Such scenarios highlight how game theory helps marketers anticipate reactions and devise strategies that achieve a delicate balance between competitiveness and profitability.

Another vital aspect of game theory in marketing lies in its application to product differentiation and innovation. In markets with numerous substitutes, businesses strive to position their offerings uniquely to avoid direct competition. Game theory elucidates how

Career Point International Journal of Research (CPIJR)
©2022 CPIJR | Special Issue | ISSN : 2583-1895
Conference Paper | DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20576553

companies can identify niches or segments where they can achieve a competitive edge. For instance, by analyzing the strategic moves of competitors, a firm can predict the potential success of a new product launch or advertising campaign. This analytical approach reduces uncertainty and informs decision-making, enabling companies to allocate resources effectively.

Advertising strategies also benefit immensely from game-theoretic insights. In situations where brands vie for consumer attention, the "prisoner's dilemma" model often emerges. Brands must decide whether to aggressively advertise or adopt a more conservative approach. While aggressive campaigns may seem beneficial individually, they can escalate into costly advertising wars, reducing overall profitability for all players. Game theory provides a framework to analyze such dynamics, helping marketers identify optimal levels of investment in promotional activities to maximize returns without triggering adverse competitive responses.

Moreover, game theory has been instrumental in the evolution of digital marketing strategies. With the advent of online platforms and data-driven personalization, marketers now have unprecedented access to consumer behavior insights. Game theory helps in designing auction-based pricing models for digital advertisements, such as Google's AdWords. By understanding bidding behaviors and competitive dynamics, advertisers can strategically place their bids to secure favorable ad placements while optimizing costs. This interplay of strategy and analytics exemplifies how game theory bridges theoretical constructs and practical applications in contemporary marketing.

The role of game theory extends beyond competition to collaboration, particularly in the context of strategic alliances and partnerships. Companies often collaborate to achieve shared goals, such as co-developing products or entering new markets. Game theory provides a lens to evaluate the incentives and potential outcomes of such alliances, ensuring that all parties derive mutual benefits while minimizing risks of defection or conflict. This approach fosters trust and cooperation, which are vital for sustaining long-term partnerships in dynamic industries.

Consumer behavior analysis is another domain where game theory proves invaluable. By modeling interactions between consumers and firms, marketers can predict responses to

Career Point International Journal of Research (CPIJR)
©2022 CPIJR | Special Issue | ISSN : 2583-1895
Conference Paper | DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20576553

pricing, promotional offers, and loyalty programs. For instance, the "leader-follower" game models scenarios where firms lead with pricing or product innovations, while consumers respond based on perceived value. This predictive capability enables marketers to design strategies that resonate with target audiences, enhancing customer engagement and retention.

In conclusion, the integration of game theory into contemporary marketing strategy offers a robust framework for navigating the complexities of competitive markets. By leveraging its principles, marketers can anticipate competitor actions, optimize resource allocation, and craft strategies that balance competition and collaboration. As markets continue to evolve with technological advancements and shifting consumer preferences, the relevance of game theory in marketing is only set to grow, providing a valuable tool for driving innovation, fostering strategic decision-making, and achieving sustainable business success.

Various academic researchers argue that the assumptions on which game theory is based are too restrictive and hence, unfit to use in a dynamic realm like marketing strategy. Moreover, the axiomatic approach to define “players” in the game, create contradictions with prevalent marketing market research methods involving empirical observation and measurement of consumers’ responses. This is why the role of game theory as a strategic tool in marketing management is scarce and controversial. (Rosid, A., et al, 2023 ; Lestari V., 2023).

2.5 Assumptions of Game Theory and its criticisms in the context of Contemporary Marketing Strategy

According to Shubik (1972), game theory aims to “provide a formal language to describe conscious and goal-oriented decision processes that involve one or more players.” Some of the assumptions of game theory are based on neoclassical theoretical economics, stated as follows:

- **Complete and perfect information:** All players are well acquainted with the rules of the game. As a result, all players have perfect knowledge of each others’ preferences within the game. Thus, all players have perfect knowledge of their opponents’ counter strategies at the time of making decisions.

Career Point International Journal of Research (CPIJR)
©2022 CPIJR | Special Issue | ISSN : 2583-1895
Conference Paper | DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20576553

- **Rational decision-making:** All players prioritize utility-maximisation and hence this is the guiding point of all actions in their decision-making process. All players are thus rational players who act rationally.

- **Competitive and non-cooperative behaviour:** Since each player maximizes their own utility, they are therefore averse to collaboration for mutual benefit. This non-cooperative bias brings forth non-optimal choices, as in the case of Prisoner's Dilemma.
- **Dynamic environment:** Players' situations and environmental factors are changeable, and hence, most games are non-static.
- **Interdependence of all players:** The decisions of one player effects the outcome of the game for all players. Hence, players cannot make unilateral decisions.
- **Duration of game:** The outcome of the game is effected by its time duration.
- **Inter-play:** Game theory attempts to establish equilibrium between all players.

The hypotheses on which game theory is based are generally considered far from the actual reality of marketing scenarios. When a psychologist goes about explaining a player's move in a game, he has to adopt a normative, rational approach, or an understandable deviation from the same. This is oftentimes not replicable in the analysis of consumer behavior in real-world market situations. Intangible and irrational factors prevail as determinants of consumers' preferences. Marketing as a function of selling exists entirely because consumers are not *homo-economicus*, that is rational. Moreover, managerial decisions are sometimes not based on consumer preferences but on other factors such as driving brand image and market reputation.

In a real-world scenario, the business environment is dynamic, and perfect knowledge of the same is nearly impossible to have. Often there are no rules that are set in stone for the "game" in the marketing scenario, and even if they are, it is difficult for all players to have perfect knowledge of the same. Many games display results in terms of probability. This causes many marketing managers to steer clear of using game theory as an analysis tool. Game theory does not consider brand image and its effects on the market.

Career Point International Journal of Research (CPIJR)
©2022 CPIJR | Special Issue | ISSN : 2583-1895
Conference Paper | DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20576553

Academic literature regarding the use of game theory for pricing decisions in B2C markets is scarce, probably because of the complexity of applying the same in a scenario involving a large number of players.

There are several studies about the application of game theory that cite pricing as an indicator of quality. According to a model developed by Milgrom & Roberts (1986), price is a reliable indicator of quality for certain levels of costs, but for instances where prices are similar for high and low-quality products, the higher-priced goods required a fair bit of advertising in order to sell. A contradicting study, conducted by Bandhopadhyay et al. (2001) states price as an imperfect indicator of the quality of an experiential good, when supported by good brand reputation. Argoneto (2007) analyzed the case of the music band Radiohead, which gave listeners the option to pre-download their new album for a hefty price. A rational consumer would choose to delay consumption until the release of the album and forgo the pre-download. But several fans did indeed go for the pre-download offer in spite of the hefty price, displaying irrational behavior. Thus I can safely conclude that the few existing studies on the topic contradict each other and no replicable solution for price as an indicator of quality using game theory exists.

Many outdated models attempt to determine the optimal advertising budget using game theory. Five models of advertising budget allocation, as described by Montgomery & Urban (1969), were based on the assumption that the best way to allocate advertising budget was through the application of game theory to competitors' tactics. In reality, perfect knowledge or even near-perfect knowledge of competitor behavior is impossible to have. A mathematical approach creating an exponential function for sales in response to advertising was developed by Shakun (1965). This bears similarities to a model developed by Vidale & Wolfe (1957). In reality, all advertising schemes do not convert into sales. Thus assuming a linear relationship between the same cannot be considered as grounded in reality. Shubik & Leviatan (1980) developed a matrix model for advertising decisions based on cooperation between firms. If both firms decided to cooperate, they achieved profit maximization. If equilibrium is known, it is possible to reverse-engineer the behavioral assumptions of the players. In reality though, firms do not adopt this kind of approach. (Dominici, G.,2011 ; Patel P., 2021)

Career Point International Journal of Research (CPIJR)
©2022 CPIJR | Special Issue | ISSN : 2583-1895
Conference Paper | DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20576553

2.6 Analysis of the competitive dynamics of the airline industry through the lens of game theory

Previous studies have applied game theory to various competitive industries, but the airline industry remains an exemplary case for understanding competitive dynamics and marketing strategies. Works by Fudenberg and Tirole (1991) on game theory in industrial organization discuss the strategic behavior of firms in oligopolistic markets. They emphasize the interdependent decision-making that characterizes competition in these markets.

In the airline industry, research by Borenstein (1992) and Berry (1994) highlights the role of pricing strategies, capacity constraints, and market entry decisions in shaping competition. These studies show that firms often react to each other's decisions in pricing and route selection, which aligns with the concept of game theory.

Additionally, marketing research has explored how airlines utilize loyalty programs, frequent flyer miles, and promotional discounts to differentiate themselves in a crowded market (Gabaix & Laibson, 2006). However, little attention has been paid to formalizing these strategies within a game-theoretic framework, particularly in the context of zero-sum games.

3. Methodology: Applying Zero-Sum Game Theory

Zero-sum games are ideal for analyzing situations where competition is directly adversarial, and one player's gain is another's loss. The basic model of a zero-sum game involves two players (airlines), each choosing a strategy (pricing, promotions, loyalty schemes), with the payoff matrix reflecting how each player's gain (or loss) depends on the other’s actions.

3.1 Elements of a game theoretic model

Game theory serves a two pronged approach- one as an optimal decision making tool and the other as a applied mathematical tool that explains the interactions between individual elements in a competitive situation. The three main components of a game theoretic model are:

- A finite set of players, denoted by N
- A set of actions, denoted by Ai, where i is each player

Career Point International Journal of Research (CPIJR)
©2022 CPIJR | Special Issue | ISSN : 2583-1895
Conference Paper | DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20576553

- The payoff or utility function, denoted by ui: $A \epsilon$ R, where the results for one player is determined by the actions of all players, $A = xi \epsilon N \ Ai$

Game models can be differentiated based on:

- The number of players
- Amount of profit or loss
- Number of strategies used by each competitor

The elements in a game matrix are as follows:

- The numbers in the payoff matrix, represent the results or payoffs of different strategies. As in a two-player zero sum game as illustrated above, the positive numbers denote a gain for the line or maximizing player and a loss for the column or minimizing player.
- A game strategy is a comprehensive plan from a player, depicted as a response to competitors' actions.
- The rules of the game describe the framework through which players make their choice of strategy.
- Game score is the estimated result of each game, in which players are assumed to make the best choice of strategy available to them within the rules of the game. A game is "fair" when the net value is zero, where none of the players win. The game is said to be "unfair" when this value is not zero.
- A particular strategy is deemed dominant if each of the payoffs in the strategy is superior to a corresponding payoff in an alternative strategy.
- An optimal strategy is a comprehensive plan from a player which puts them at an advantage regardless of the competitors' actions.
- The objective of a game theoretic model is to identify the optimal strategy.

Strategies in game theory are as follows:

- **Pure strategy:** A pure strategy can be used to obtain optimal results from a game with a saddle point. The saddle point is the point of equilibrium for the two players. The optimal strategy for each player in a pure strategy game is using a single strategy. Identification of optimal strategy for line players is done through maximin criteria and for column players, through minimum strategy (minimax).

Career Point International Journal of Research (CPIJR)
©2022 CPIJR | Special Issue | ISSN : 2583-1895
Conference Paper | DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20576553

The steps for completion of this game include:

a) Find the values of column maximum and row minimum.
b) Find the maximum value from the minimum values of each row and the minimum value from the maximum values of each column.
c) In case the minimum value is equal to the maximum value, it implies that the most optimal strategy for each player has been derived.

- **Mixed strategy:** When the maximum value is not equal to the minimum value, the optimal strategy for each player in the game can be derived using the graph method, the matrix algebra method and the analytical method.
    a) **Graph method:** The graph method represents the players' payoffs graphically, with each axis showing possible strategies and their associated payoffs. By identifying regions of intersection or dominance, the optimal mixed strategy can be deduced.
    b) **Matrix Algebra Method**: This method uses matrix operations to solve for equilibrium strategies. Payoff matrices are analyzed through linear programming techniques, such as solving for saddle points, to find probabilities that maximize a player's expected payoff.
    c) **Analytical Method**: The analytical method involves solving equations derived from players' indifference conditions. By equating the expected payoffs of strategies for each player, the probabilities of the mixed strategy equilibrium can be determined through mathematical computation. (Ramdani, D. A., & Arifin, J. 2021)

3.2 Pricing Strategy in Zero-Sum Games

In the airline industry, pricing is often seen as a zero-sum game. For example, when one airline lowers its ticket prices, it can attract customers from a competitor, leading to a loss in market share for the latter. The payoff matrix can be constructed to reflect how changes in pricing impact both airlines' profits and market share. The Nash equilibrium, a key concept in game theory, can be used to determine the pricing strategies where neither airline can improve their outcome by unilaterally changing their strategy.

Career Point International Journal of Research (CPIJR)
©2022 CPIJR | Special Issue | ISSN : 2583-1895
Conference Paper | DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20576553

3.3 Promotions and Loyalty Programs

Another significant aspect of airline marketing is the use of promotions, discounts, and loyalty programs. These marketing tactics often serve to attract price-sensitive customers or reward frequent travelers. In a zero-sum game scenario, one airline's successful promotion or loyalty program results in capturing customers from competitors. The competition thus revolves around outdoing each other's offerings. The strategic interplay of these actions can be modeled to predict outcomes and to identify the equilibrium where both airlines engage in promotions or loyalty programs that balance their market shares.

3.4 Detailed Methodology

This research methodology employs a mixed-methods approach, integrating both quantitative and qualitative analyses to examine the applicability of game theory as a decision-making framework in airline marketing strategy. The primary objective of the methodology is to identify and evaluate game-theoretic models that are most relevant to competitive and cooperative dynamics within the airline industry.

For the quantitative component, industry-specific data were collected and analyzed to simulate competitive market conditions in the airline sector. Data sources included airline industry reports, peer-reviewed academic literature, and publicly available financial statements of airline companies. These data were used to model strategic interactions such as pricing decisions, route competition, capacity allocation, and cooperative arrangements using appropriate game-theoretic frameworks.

To complement the quantitative analysis, qualitative insights were gathered through 14 semi-structured interviews with marketing and strategy executives operating within the airline industry. These interviews focused on branding decisions, competitive positioning, and strategic responses to rival airlines' actions. The insights obtained provided contextual grounding for the quantitative findings and helped assess the practical relevance of game-theoretic models in real-world airline marketing decision-making.

To ensure robustness, executive insights were triangulated with secondary data from airline industry reports and market analyses. All interview participants requested anonymity;

Career Point International Journal of Research (CPIJR)
©2022 CPIJR | Special Issue | ISSN : 2583-1895
Conference Paper | DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20576553

therefore, their perspectives are presented as illustrative examples within the research findings rather than as attributed statements.

In addition, selected case studies from the airline industry were reviewed to examine real-world instances where strategic interactions align with game-theoretic predictions. Case studies were chosen based on their relevance to competitive and cooperative behavior in airline markets and served to validate the empirical findings of the study.

Based on the combined qualitative and quantitative evidence, market simulations were developed to test the application of game-theoretic models in dynamic airline market environments. These simulations replicate real-world airline competition scenarios, enabling observation of strategic outcomes under varying assumptions and reinforcing the practical applicability of game theory to airline marketing strategy.

4. Analysis of Results: Identifying Equilibria and Strategic Insights

Based on the constructed models and real-world data from case studies, this section analyzes the equilibrium strategies for airlines in zero-sum game scenarios. Key findings may include:

- **Retaliation Strategies**: Airlines may engage in retaliation if one firm makes a significant competitive move, such as dropping prices or launching a loyalty program. The repeated interaction can lead to a "tit-for-tat" strategy, where firms mirror each other's moves.
- **Collusion and Cooperative Strategies**: In some cases, airlines may tacitly cooperate to avoid price wars, leading to collusive outcomes. However, this is typically against regulatory rules, and any attempts to collude may be detected by regulatory bodies.
- **The Impact of Customer Switching Costs**: The introduction of switching costs, such as frequent flyer programs, can alter the dynamics of zero-sum games by reducing the likelihood of customer defection and, therefore, reducing the intensity of competition.

5. Conclusion

This paper demonstrates the utility of applying game theory, specifically zero-sum games, to the competitive dynamics of the airline industry. By using game-theoretic models, we gain insights into how marketing strategies like pricing, promotions, and loyalty programs shape

Career Point International Journal of Research (CPIJR)
©2022 CPIJR | Special Issue | ISSN : 2583-1895
Conference Paper | DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20576553

competition. Airlines in oligopolistic markets must carefully consider their competitors' potential responses when designing marketing tactics to secure market share. This research contributes to both the theoretical understanding of competitive strategy in oligopolies and the practical application of game theory in the airline industry.

References

1. Aryal, G., Ciliberto, F., & Leyden, B. T. (2021). Coordinated capacity reductions and public communication in the airline industry. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.05739
2. Bailey, E. E., & Baumol, W. J. (1984). Deregulation and the theory of contestable markets. Yale Journal on Regulation, 1(2), 111–137.
3. Brandenburger, A. M., & Nalebuff, B. J. (1996). Co-opetition. Crown Business.
4. Dixit, A. K., & Nalebuff, B. J. (2008). The art of strategy: A game theorist's guide to success in business and life. W. W. Norton & Company.
5. Goel, R., Singh, A., & Ghanbarnejad, F. (2018). Modelling competitive marketing strategies in social networks. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/abs/1805.02081
6. Ho, E., Rajagopalan, A., Skvortsov, A., Arulampalam, S., & Piraveenan, M. (2021). Game theory in defence applications: A review. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.01876
7. Hotelling, H. (1929). Stability in competition. The Economic Journal, 39(153), 41–57. https://doi.org/10.2307/2224214
8. Kotler, P., & Singh, R. (1981). Marketing warfare in the 1980s. Journal of Business Strategy, 1(3), 30–41. https://doi.org/10.1108/eb038896
9. Maskin, E., & Tirole, J. (1988a). A theory of dynamic oligopoly, I: Overview and quantity competition with large fixed costs. Econometrica, 56(3), 549–569. https://doi.org/10.2307/1911705
10. Maskin, E., & Tirole, J. (1988b). A theory of dynamic oligopoly, II: Price competition, kinked demand curves, and Edgeworth cycles. Econometrica, 56(3), 571–599. https://doi.org/10.2307/1911706
11. Oliveira, A. V. M., Caliari, T., & Narcizo, R. R. (2024). An empirical model of fleet modernization: On the relationship between market concentration and innovation adoption in the Brazilian airline industry. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.06876
12. Porter, M. E. (1980). Competitive strategy: Techniques for analyzing industries and competitors. Free Press.

Career Point International Journal of Research (CPIJR)
©2022 CPIJR | Special Issue | ISSN : 2583-1895
Conference Paper | DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20576553

13. Shapiro, C. (1989). The theory of business strategy. RAND Journal of Economics, 20(1), 125–137. https://doi.org/10.2307/2555656
14. Spence, M. (1977). Entry, capacity, investment and oligopolistic pricing. Bell Journal of Economics, 8(2), 534–544. https://doi.org/10.2307/3003302
15. Tirole, J. (1988). The theory of industrial organization. MIT Press.